Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/573,805	SAKAMOTO ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	CHARLES SHEDE	RICK	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover s	heet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 10 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CO	NDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amer al (with appeal fee)	ndment, affidavi in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) t ter than SIX MONTH b). ONLY CHECK BO	S from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sloset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corres hortened statutory per	ponding amount origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	sideration and/or sov);	earch (see NOT	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	_			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection on the following rejection of the following rejection of				•
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) rejected:	will not be enter	ed, or b) 🔲 wil	•	-
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons	why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	ercome <u>all</u> rejectio	ns under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	of the status of the	e claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place th	ne application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (label{eq:note} Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper	No(s)		
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Charles Examiner,	Shedrick/ Art Unit 2617		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Examiner respectfully disagree with the Applicant's remarks regarding resetting the timer. In other words the prior art teaches several resume methods which at least sets the timer to an initial state (i.e., run back)(e.g., resuming reproduction at a point run back 5secs would at least read on resetting the timer 5 secs to the initial state prior to the incoming call).